



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,164	05/31/2001	Daniel Fishman	2378/106	8770

2101 7590 03/20/2007
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP
125 SUMMER STREET
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618

EXAMINER

MIRZA, ADNAN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2145

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/872,164

Applicant(s)

FISHMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M. Mirza

Art Unit

2145

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claims do not specifically define computer useable medium toward the Specification. Applicant's amendment still didn't fulfill the requirement of being tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conmy (US 2001/0014867) and further in view of Henneuse et al (U.S. 5,963,913).

As per claims 10,1,14 Conmy disclosed a system for scheduling an event over a network in a calendar of an invitee (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0030), the event having a set of details provided by an event creator (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0011), the system comprising: that when selected by the invitee creates a schedule request referencing at least the set of details for the event and an identifier for the event creator (Conmy, Paragraph. 0067 &

Art Unit: 2145

0077), the schedule request directing the event to the invitee's calendar; at least on server, in communication with the network, to receive the schedule request and store the event in the invitee's calendar and in an event record that includes a second link to the invitee's calendar; and at least on database, in communication with server, to store the event record (Conmy, Paragraph. 0026,0030,0064-0067,0083).

However Conmy did not disclose in detail, "a first link, inserted in a web page associated with the event creator and including a set of details regarding the event".

In the same field of endeavor Henneuse disclosed the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on an Internet (col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the server application then receives an event confirmation submitted by the scheduler using a client application to access the event confirmation page. IN response, the server application then creates and sends a message to each available participant to provide the schedule for the event. In one implementation, the event definition page, event reply page and event confirmation page are web pages on

Art Unit: 2145

an Internet as taught by Henneuse in the method of Conmy to make the user scheduling system more user friendly while being productive and make it more versatile.

3. As per claims 2,12,16 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including; creating a link from the event record to the event creator's calendar; and adding the event to the event creator's calendar (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).

4. As per claims 3,13 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the network is the Internet (Henneuse, col. 2, lines 1-3).

6. As per claim 4 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar, the event creator's calendar and the event record are stored in a database in communication with the server (Conmy, Page. 1; Paragraph 0013).

7. As per claims 5,11,15 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule request is a hypertext transfer protocol request (Henneuse, col. 3, lines 1-8).

8. As per claim 6 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the invitee's calendar is part of a personal information management system (Conmy, Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).

9. As per claims 7,17 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the event creator changes at least one member of the set of details for the event, the method further including: updating the event record with the changed set of details; and updating the event in the

Art Unit: 2145

invitee's calendar with the changed set of details using the link between the event record and the invitee's calendar (Conmy, Page 1, Paragraph. 0013).

10. As per claims 8,18 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed further including sending a notification message to the invitee including the changed set of details (Conmy, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0010).

11. As per claim 9 Conmy-Henneuse disclosed wherein the schedule is created using a link associated with the event (Henneuse, col. 1, lines 63-67 & col. 2, lines 1-3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/02/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Response to applicant's arguments is as follows.

A. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, "the creation of an event recorder and the creation of a link between the event recorder and the calendar of the invitee".

As to applicant's argument Henneuse disclosed, "In one embodiment, the server application creates the event confirmation page such that it has restricted access to allow

Art Unit: 2145

access only to the initiating scheduler. In the Internet implementation, server application provides both the event reply page and the event confirmation page as web pages to which the scheduler and the requested participants have access using respective client applications 12 and 14. In step 24 server application 16 creates and sends an electronic mail message to each requested participant where each message includes a link to the event reply page (col. 3, lines 38-48).

B. Applicant argued that Conmy did not disclose, "in which an invitee interacts with a web page to scheduled an event in the invitee's calendar".

As to applicant's argument Conmy disclosed, "According to one embodiment of the present invention, profiles 202 and calendar files 210 may be stored in an electronic mail file associated with each user. Collectively, the profiles 202 and the calendar files 210 comprise availability information for a particular user. The electronic mail file may then be stored on one or more of servers associated with the particular user (Page. 2, Paragraph 0031)

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

14. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2145

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).



Adnan Mirza

Examiner



JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER